Three-Dimensional Evaluation of Postoperative Swelling After Third Molar Surgery Using 2 Different Cooling Therapy Methods: A Randomized Observer-Blind Prospective Study
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Purpose: In most cases, the removal of third molars leads to a significant degree of tissue trauma, resulting in common postoperative symptoms and signs of pain, facial swelling, dysfunction, and limited mouth opening (trismus). The beneficial effects of cold treatment on postoperative swelling, edema, pain, and inflammation, as well as the reduction in bleeding and hematomas, have been described. The aim of the present study was to compare postoperative cooling therapy using cooling compresses with that using the water-circulating cooling face mask by Hilotherm. We recorded the beneficial effects on postoperative facial swelling, pain, trismus, and neurologic complaints.

Patients and Methods: A total of 30 patients were scheduled to undergo third molar surgery and were divided randomly into 2 groups for treatment with either the Hilotherm or conventional cooling with cooling compresses. Cooling was performed one time for 45 minutes immediately after surgery. Facial swelling was quantified using a 3-dimensional optical scanning technique. The pain and neurologic scores and the degree of mouth opening were observed for each patient.

Results: Patients receiving cooling therapy using Hilotherm demonstrated less facial swelling, less pain, a tendency toward fewer neurologic complaints, and were more satisfied than the patients who had received conventional cooling.

Conclusion: The results of our study have shown that the Hilotherm is more efficient for managing postoperative swelling and pain after the removal of third molars than conventional cooling using compresses.
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acteristic maximum 48 to 72 hours after surgery. Those symptoms are a major disadvantage and affect the patient’s quality of life. To increase patient satisfaction after third molar surgery, it will be necessary to avoid the inconvenience associated with tooth extraction and minimize the subsequent side effects. One method to reduce the side effects is to prescribe medication such as corticosteroids, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, or enzyme preparations such as serratiopeptidase. In addition, nonmedication methods are available to treat these side effects, including manual lymph drainage, soft laser, and cryotherapy. Cryotherapy has been used since Hippocrates, who described the use of local or systemic application of cold for therapeutic reasons. The beneficial effects of cold treatment on postoperative swelling have been described. Low temperatures lead to a reduction of the activity of inflammatory enzymes. The pain-relieving effect of cold therapy has been well documented. The published oral and maxillofacial surgery scientific evidence and trials showing the positive and negative effects of cold therapy have been previously described. Low temperatures lead to a reduction of the activity of inflammatory enzymes. Different cooling procedures have been studied, including ice packs, gel packs, and cold compresses. Both positive and negative side effects have been reported, including tissue injuries, lymph drainage disturbances, and microcirculation or chilblains. As an alternative to such conventional cooling methods, we studied a procedure that permits continuous cooling using a face mask and a water-circulating cooling device called hilotherapy (Hilotherm, Pleidelsheim, Germany).

The aim of the present study was to examine the effects of hilotherapy, compared with conventional cooling using cold compresses, on swelling, pain, trismus, neurologic complaints, and patient satisfaction after third molar surgery.

Patients and Methods

The local ethics committee at the University of Aachen, Germany approved the study (EK 142/2008). Before the study began, all patients provided written informed consent.

PATIENTS

A total of 30 healthy patients were scheduled for extraction of all wisdom teeth. Only those patients who required an osteotomy of the lower mandible wisdom teeth were divided randomly into 2 treatment groups. Of the 30 patients, 15 were treated with conventional cooling and 15 received continuous cooling using hilotherapy after extraction of all 4 third molars. At the patient examinations, the observer did not know which therapy had been applied.

COOLING METHODS

Hilotherapy refers to the water-circulating external cooling device Hilotherm Clinic (Hilotherm GmbH). It consists of a preshaped thermoplastic polyurethane mask and the Hilotherm cooling device control unit (Fig 1A,B). The temperature setting is adjustable from +10°C to +30°C and was set to 15°C after surgery.

Conventional cooling was performed using cool compresses. In both groups, the cooling therapy was applied immediately after surgery for a 45-minute period.

STUDY CRITERIA AND PROTOCOL

Only patients with a Pell and Gregory level B and C were included in the present study. The patients who required simple extraction of wisdom teeth of the mandible were not included in the present study. The additional inclusion criteria for participation were misaligned teeth, tooth anomalies, and retained and impacted third molars. Potential participants were excluded from the present study because of missed surgery, foreseeable missed follow-up examination, pregnancy, nursing, drug addiction, recent surgery, and diseases such as heart, metabolism, central nervous system, infectious, circulation, systemic, malignant, and immune system-affecting diseases, as well as blood coagulation disorders and allergic reactions to pharmaceutical agents and antibiotics. All patients were examined and scanned on fixed dates using standardized methods and techniques. Thus, every patient received the same postoperative analgesic (first day, ibuprofen 600 mg 3 times daily; second day, ibuprofen 600 mg 2 times daily; third day, ibuprofen 600 mg 1 time daily; fourth day, ibuprofen 600 mg 1 time daily), and no antibiotic prophylaxis therapy. During the first visit, the physician collected information about previous illnesses and diseases and conducted a standard blood test. The operation took place using general anesthesia.

During the present study, the following parameters were assessed: pain, swelling, neurologic complaints, patient satisfaction, and mouth opening.

POSTOPERATIVE PAIN ANALYSIS

The postoperative pain analysis was conducted with the help of a visual analog scale on a daily basis from the 2nd to the 10th day, in which the patients should rate their pain on a score from 0 to 10, with 0 describing a situation without pain and 10 denoting a maximal intensity of pain.
FACIAL SWELLING MEASUREMENT

The present study used a 3-dimensional (3D) optical scanner (FaceScan3D; 3D-Shape GmbH, Erlangen, Germany) to measure facial swelling in volume. The 3D optical scanner consists of an optical range sensor, 2 digital cameras, mirror construction, and a commercial personal computer. The sensor is based on a phase-measuring triangulation method. Special safety precautions are not needed for the patient, because the advantage of this optical sensor is its...
contactless data acquisition accompanied by its high accuracy in the z-direction with 200 µm and a short measurement time of 430 ms. The mirror construction permits the capture of greater than 180° of the patient’s face. The computer program Slim3D (3D-Shape) automatically triangulates, merges, and post-processes the data. The final output is a triangulated polygon mesh that is visualized as a synthetically shaded or wire mesh representation. For the volume calculation, all patients were photographed using a standard technique for frontal views of the face. Adjustment occurred on the Frankfurt horizontal line, parallel to the floor. Patients sat on a self-adjustable stool and were asked to look into a mirror with standard horizontal and vertical lines simulating a red stool and were asked to look into a mirror. The patients were instructed to swallow hard and to keep their jaws in a relaxed position for the scan. 3D optical scans were recorded to subnasale and the midline of the face was aligned to the vertical line. The horizontal line was adjusted on the 2nd (T2), 10th (T3), and 28th (T4) postoperative day. The reference 3D model for each patient was the scan from T0. The resulting difference in volume was calculated as described swelling, using the computer software Comparison (3D-Shape).

NEUROLOGIC ANALYSIS

The neurologic analysis was performed bilaterally. It was used to evaluate nerve dysfunctions. The skin of the infraorbital, mental region, and upper and lower lip were checked using a cotton test for touch sensation, a pinprick test using a needle for sharp pain, and a blunt instrument for testing pressure. Additionally, a 2-point discrimination test was executed on these regions. The same procedure was accomplished for the lower lip and the mental nerve skin region. The results were recorded on a score with a range of 0 to 13, with 13 the worst neurologic score. The neurologic score was assessed at 3 points: before surgery (T0), directly after surgery (T1), and on the 2nd (T2), 10th (T3), and 28th (T4) postoperative day.

PATIENT SATISFACTION

Each patient was asked to complete a questionnaire on the 10th postoperative day. The question was how they rated their satisfaction and convenience of the applied postoperative cooling therapy on a subjective basis. The grading scale ranged from 1 to 4, with 1 indicating very satisfied and 4, not satisfied.

MOUTH OPENING MEASUREMENT

Trismus was calculated using the interincisal mouth opening and was measured with a caliper. The result was recorded in millimeters and observed at 5 points: before surgery (T0), directly after surgery (T1), and on the 2nd (T2), 10th (T3), and 28th (T4) postoperative day.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All data are expressed as the mean value ± standard error of the mean. For repeating measures, 1-way analysis of variance with post hoc Bonferroni’s test for multiple comparisons of the mean was applied. Because the observed parameters consisted of dichotomous variables, a chi-square test and a Wilcoxon test were conducted to detect differences between conventional cooling and hilotherapy. To check for statistical significance of quantitative variables, the Student t test was used, with P < .05 considered significant. The statistical analysis was conducted using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences for Windows, version 14.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL).

Results

BASELINE CHARACTERISTICS

A total of 30 patients were randomly enrolled in the present study. After third molar surgery, 15 patients were assigned to conventional cooling therapy and 15 patients were treated with hilotherapy. The clinical and demographic characteristics of the 30 patients are listed in Table 1. No statistically significant differences were noted regarding gender, age, body mass index, or surgery duration in either group.

POSTOPERATIVE SWELLING

Swelling was measured in terms of volume in milliliters. On the second day after surgery, a statistically significant downregulation of swelling could be achieved with the Hilotherm cooling device compared with conventional cooling therapy (Hilotherm 72.2 ± 14.9 mL, conventional 96.6 ± 20.9 mL, P = .005; Fig 2). This tendency was maintained on the 10th postoperative day (Hilotherm 23.3 ± 6.1 mL, conventional 46.7 ± 12.7 mL, P < .001). After 28
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Data presented as numbers of patients, with percentages in parentheses, or mean ± standard deviation.
days, no statistically significant differences with respect to swelling could be documented in either group (Hilotherm 5.1 ± 3.4 mL, conventional 5.8 ± 3.7 mL, \( P = .57 \)). Maximal swelling was noticed at the second day after surgery with 72.2 ± 14.9 mL using hilotherapy and 96.6 ± 20.9 mL with conventional cooling.

**POSTOPERATIVE PAIN**

Pain was calculated using a visual analog scale from subjective analysis ranging from 0 to 10. At the second and third postoperative day, a significant downregulated pain score was assessed by hilotherapy compared with conventional cooling (second day, Hilotherm 3.4 ± 1.5 and conventional 4.8 ± 1.6, \( P < .05 \); third day, Hilotherm 2.9 ± 1.1 and conventional 3.7 ± 1.2, \( P < .05 \); Fig 3). Although not statistically significant, at the fourth postoperative day, we could achieve lower pain scores compared with conventional cooling (Hilotherm 1.7 ± 0.7 and conventional 2.1 ± 0.8, \( P = .06 \)). At the 28th postoperative day, no differences were found in the pain score between the 2 groups (Hilotherm 0.3 ± 0.1 and conventional 0.3 ± 0.1, \( P = .67 \)).

**POSTOPERATIVE NEUROLOGIC SCORE**

No statistically significant differences were found between the 2 groups concerning the neurologic score at 2 and 10 days after third molar extraction (second day, Hilotherm 1.2 ± 0.6 and conventional 1.1 ± 0.6, \( P = .8 \); 10th day, Hilotherm 0.07 ± 0.3 and conventional 0.1 ± 0.4, \( P = .6 \); Fig 4). However, a highly significant decrease in the neurologic score could be observed after 10 days compared with the results at the 2nd postoperative day in the 2 groups (Hilotherm, 2nd day 1.2 ± 0.6 vs 10th day 0.07 ± 0.3, \( P < .001 \); conventional, second day 1.1 ± 0.6 vs 10th day 0.1 ± 0.4, \( P < .001 \)).

**TRISMUS**

Postoperatively and at the second postoperative day, the mouth opening was significantly greater in the Hilo therapy group than in the conventional cooling group (postoperatively, Hilotherm 22.8 ± 0.7 and conventional 17.1 ± 0.7, \( P = .01 \); second day, Hilotherm 25.1 ± 2.4 and conventional 22.0 ± 1.9, \( P = .01.05 \)).
The mouth opening returned to normal values 28 days after surgery without statistically significant differences between the 2 groups.

**PATIENT SATISFACTION**

Patient satisfaction was assessed at the second day after surgery. A statistically significant difference was found between the Hilotherapy and conventional cooling groups (Hilotherm 1.9 ± 0.2 and conventional 3.1 ± 0.3, P = .003) (Fig 6).

**Discussion**

The results of the present study have demonstrated that continuous cooling with the Hilotherapy devices reduces postoperative swelling, pain, and trismus after third molar surgery compared with conventional cooling using cold packs. Furthermore, patient satisfaction with Hilotherapy was greater than that with conventional cooling. However, the postoperative neurologic score was unchanged in both groups.

It has been shown that the healing process and the possible complaints after removal of third molars can be influenced by various factors, including surgeon experience, patient age and gender, and the need for tooth sectioning or bone removal. Another variable that can influence the degree of facial swelling is the operating time, which again is related to surgical difficulties in extraction. Because the operating time was not significantly different in the 2 groups, this factor did not have an effect on the results.

Although cryotherapy is a relatively safe method to treat complications after oral or maxillofacial surgery, cold therapy should be used with caution. Above all, very young or very old patients can react with intolerance to external cooling. However, because the region that is affected by swelling after third molar surgery has a superior blood supply, the probability of these contraindications is very low after oral and maxillofacial surgery.

The biologic vascular, neural, metabolic, and muscular effects of cooling therapy are known. Cryotherapy decelerates cell metabolism, because, according to van’t Hoff’s law, it slows down the biochemical reactions. Regarding the vascular effects, cold therapy constricts the blood vessels. The intensity of vasoconstriction reaches the greatest value at a temperature of 15°C. Furthermore, a decrease in body temperature slows down peripheral nerve conduction. For temperatures less than 15°C, nerve conduction is completely disabled, and vasoconstriction becomes vasodilation. These biologic effects influence the postoperative symptoms. Also, the antiedema effect is caused by vasoconstriction, and the pain-reducing effect of the cold therapy is related to the blocking of nerve endings. This blocking decelerates nerve conduction and, consequently, inflammation. Ice packs or similar conventional cooling methods use a temperature of about 0°C. Such a low temperature constrains lymph drainage and cell metabolism. The effects of a treatment with too low a temperature have been previously mentioned. The inference is that a system is needed that maintains the desired temperature for a fixed period. To fulfill this requirement, the present study used the cool-